

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Division of Student Health and Human Services - Restorative Justice



Discipline Foundation Policy: School-Wide Positive Behavior Intervention and Support Rubric of Implementation (ROI)

| School Name: | Date: |
|--------------|-------|
|              |       |

|    | Key Feature                                 | 1  | 2   | 3  | 4   | Score |
|----|---|--|---|--|---|-------|
| 1. | Administrative<br>Leadership and<br>Support | Administrator(s) does not actively support the SWPBIS process.   | Administrator(s) supports the process but is not as active as the rest of the team.                   | <ul> <li>□ At least one school administrator is a member of the SWPBIS / Discipline Review Team.</li> <li>□ SWPBIS is on the agenda at some faculty meetings.</li> <li>□ SWPBIS is addressed in some staff and parent newsletters.</li> <li>□ School data is reviewed during SWPBIS/Discipline Review Team meetings.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>□ At least one school administrator is an active participant on the SWPBIS Discipline Review Team.</li> <li>□ Review school data during SWPBIS meetings with the Discipline Review Team Members.</li> <li>□ SWPBIS is on the agenda at all faculty meetings.</li> <li>□ SWPBIS is addressed in all staff, parent newsletters or school website, etc.</li> </ul>  |       |
| 2. | Team-Based<br>Implementation                | □ No SWPBIS / Discipline Review Team is established.   | A SWPBIS / Discipline Review team is established and meets at least 2 times per year.                 | □ The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team has representation of all stakeholders (Administrator, General Education Teacher, Special Education Teacher, Classified Representative, Support Staff (e.g., Student Health and Human Services personnel, Parent, Student (High School Only) including grade levels, etc. □ The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team has regularly scheduled monthly meetings, and reviews discipline data. | <ul> <li>□ The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team has representation of all stakeholders (Administrator, General Education Teacher, Special Education Teacher, Classified Representative, Support Staff (e.g., Student Health and Human Services personnel) Parent, Student (High School Only) including grade levels, etc.</li> <li>□ The SWPBIS/ Discipline Review team has regularly scheduled monthly meetings, and reviews discipline data.</li> <li>□ Agendas, minutes, sign-in sheets, action plans, etc. from each monthly meeting are memorialized.</li> <li>□ Each team member knows their role and responsibility as part of the team.</li> </ul> |       |
| 3. | Behavioral<br>Expectations<br>Defined       | <ul> <li>□ The school has more than six behavioral expectations.</li> <li>□ The expectations are negatively stated.</li> </ul> | 3 – 6 positively stated expectations are established and defined for <b>some</b> of the common areas. | <ul> <li>□ 3 – 6 positively stated expectations are established and defined for all of the common areas.</li> <li>□ The expectations are clearly visible (posted) in most of the common areas.</li> <li>□ When asked, students, staff and families know the 3 – 6 expectations.</li> </ul>   | □ 3 – 6 positively stated expectations are established, defined and clearly visible (posted) in <b>all</b> of the common areas. □ When asked, students, staff and families know the 3 – 6 expectations.   |       |



### LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Division of Student Health and Human Services - Restorative Justice



Discipline Foundation Policy: School-Wide Positive Behavior Intervention and Support

Rubric of Implementation (ROI) **Key Feature** 1 2 Score Behavior No documented Students are told There is a documented system for There is a documented system for annually **Expectations** plan for teaching annually teaching the behavioral teaching the behavioral expectations to all what the **Taught** the expectations expectations are. expectations, to all students students (e.g., Behavior/Procedure Fair, (e.g., Behavior/Procedure Fair, exist. homeroom/advisory lessons, assemblies, skits, Some staff may homeroom/advisory lessons, Community Building Circles, and/or Breakfast Some staff may teach the In the Classroom Check-ins, etc.) including assemblies, skits, Community teach the expectations in their Building Circles. Breakfast in the introduction letter to parents and staff. expectations in own classrooms. Classroom Check-ins. etc.). their own There is a documented system for ongoing including introduction letter to classrooms. review of expectations on weekly to monthly parents and staff. basis. The school has developed strategies to involve families/community with the teaching of the expectations. Acknowledge The documented The documented acknowledgment/ There is not a The documented acknowledgment/reinforcement reinforcement system (e.g., ticket, token, and acknowledgment/ consistent system (e.g., ticket, token, restorative practices, etc.) guidelines and Reinforce acknowledgment reinforcement restorative practices, etc.) procedures are implemented throughout the / reinforcement system (e.g., ticket, **Appropriate** guidelines and procedures are school by 90% of staff. system in place. token, etc.) **Behavior** implemented throughout the school A ratio of 4 positive for every one negative is in guidelines and by 75% of staff. place; 4(+):1(-) ratio means acknowledging / procedures are reinforcing students' positive behavior at least implemented 4 times more often than acknowledging throughout the students' misconduct.); When asked, staff can school by 50% of explain and describe examples of the the staff. implementation of 4:1 Monitor and Problem behaviors are clearly Problem Problem behaviors Problem behaviors are clearly defined, agreed defined, agreed upon by at least Correct upon by at least 90% of school staff and behaviors are clearly defined 75% of school staff and **Behavioral** are not clearly and agreed upon by documented. documented. defined. a least 50% of **Errors** At least 90% of school staff can clearly At least 75% of school staff can school staff. ☐ The response to articulate the process for behavior handled in clearly articulate the process for problem There is an the classroom and behavior referred out (e.g., behavior handled in the classroom behavior is Dean, Counselor, AP, Restorative Justice staff, inconsistent and behavior referred out (e.g., inconsistent. etc.). process for what Dean, Counselor, AP, Restorative behavior is handled There is evidence that consequences for Justice staff, etc.). in the classroom "behavioral errors" are consistent, progressive, Use of alternatives to formal and what is referred and communicated to all stakeholders. discipline (i.e., suspension), out (e.g., Dean, Use of alternatives to formal discipline (i.e., documented in MiSiS; reference Counselor, AP. suspension), documented in MiSiS; reference Attachment D of the Discipline Restorative Justice Attachment D of the Discipline Foundation Foundation Policy: Guide to Tier II staff, etc.) Policy: Guide to Tier II and Tier III Intervention and Tier III Intervention Supports Supports and Alternatives to Suspension. and Alternatives to Suspension.



# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Division of Student Health and Human Services - Restorative Justice



Discipline Foundation Policy: School-Wide Positive Behavior Intervention and Support Rubric of Implementation (ROI)

|    | Key Feature                                  | 1   | 2   | 3  | 4  | Score |
|----|--|---|---|--|--|-------|
| 7. | Data Based<br>Decision<br>Making             | MiSiS discipline data is not reviewed to make decisions.                    | ☐ <b>MiSiS</b> discipline data is reviewed but not used to make decisions.  | MiSiS is used to keep track of discipline data (office discipline referrals, suspension, opportunity transfer, expulsions).  The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team uses MiSiS and other District data systems to make decisions in designing, implementing, and revising school-wide efforts at least 2 times per school year with an emphasis on targeted supports.  MiSiS data is shared with school staff at least 2 times per school year. | MiSiS is used to keep track of discipline data (office discipline referrals, suspension, opportunity transfer, expulsions).  The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team uses MiSiS data and other District data systems to make decisions in designing, implementing, and revising school-wide efforts at least 3 or more times per school year.  The SWPBIS/Discipline Review team reviews MiSiS discipline data at their monthly team meetings with an emphasis on targeted supports.  MiSiS data is shared with school staff at least 3 or more times per school year. |       |
| 8. | Family and<br>Community<br>Collaboration     | There is no family/communit y involvement in the school site SWPBIS system. | A family/community member is inconsistently part of the SWPBIS/ Discipline Review team.  Updates on the SWPBIS efforts are communicated through newsletters, brochures, open house, parent meetings, etc. at least 2 times per school year. | A family/community member is an active member of the SWPBIS/Discipline Review team.  Updates on the SWPBIS efforts and data are communicated through newsletters, brochures, open house, parent meetings, etc. at least 5 times per school year.   | A family/community member is a consistent member of the SWPBIS/Discipline Review team.  Updates on the SWPBIS efforts and data are communicated through newsletters, brochures, open house, parent meetings, website, etc. at least 8 times per school year.   |       |
|    | Rubric of Implen                             | nentation progress appro  | oved by:  |  | TOTAL SCORE  |       |
| _  | Principal (Signature) SWPBIS/Discipline Revi | iew Team Member (Signat   | Date  Date  |  |  |       |
| Ę  | school Operations (Sign                      | ature)  | Date  | <br>   |  |       |